



Winter Parking Lot and Sidewalk Maintenance Manual

Third Revision

June 2015

**Adapted for Lake County, Illinois
September 2015**

Published by:

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

www.pca.state.mn.us/programs/roadsalt.html

Acknowledgments

This manual is dedicated to helping Minnesotans protect the environment. The plow driver, the building manager, or the traveling public, all have an important role to play in protecting the environment.

The *Winter Parking Lot and Sidewalk Maintenance Manual* is based on the *Minnesota Snow and Ice Control Field Handbook for Snowplow Operators*, produced by the Minnesota Local Technical Assistance Program Center, and on the training materials for the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA) *Winter Maintenance of Parking Lots and Sidewalks* training class. Thanks to the following sponsors and participants for their valuable input in the production of this document.

Funding: Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
Mississippi Watershed Management Organization – 2010 revision

Original (2006) Technical Advisory Panel

Project Leaders:

Carolyn Dindorf – Fortin Consulting, Inc.
Connie Fortin – Fortin Consulting, Inc.
Andrew Ronchak – Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

Technical Expert Committee Members:

Tom Broadbent – Envirotech Services, Inc.
Charles Cadwell – Cadwell Lawn Care & Landscaping
Jeff Dubay – City of Minnetonka Public Works
Greg Felt – Scott County Public Works
Wendy Frederickson – Minnesota Department of Transportation
Rich Peterson – General Growth Properties, Inc.
Kathleen Schaefer – Minnesota Department of Transportation, University of Minnesota Circuit Training and Assistance Program
Jim Weber – University of Minnesota Facilities Management Landcare

Other Contributors:

Jim Grothaus – Minnesota Local Technical Assistance Program
Dave Redig – Minnesota Department of Transportation – District 6, Rochester
Brooke Asleson – Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

Revision 3 (2015) Technical Advisory Panel

Project Leaders:

Connie Fortin – Fortin Consulting Inc.

Brooke Asleson – Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

Technical Expert Committee Members:

Tom Broadbent – Envirotech Services Inc.

Brian Brown – Three Rivers Parks

Craig Eldred – City of Waconia

Ryan Foudray – Prescription Landscape Inc.

Doug Lauer – University of Minnesota, Landcare

Andy Ronchak – Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

Other Contributors:

Scott Koefod – Cargill Inc.

Jeff Warner – FORCE America Inc.

Nancy Mulhern – Fortin Consulting Inc.

Carolyn Dindorf – Fortin Consulting Inc.

Lauren Tjaden – Fortin Consulting Inc.

Writing:

Connie Fortin – Fortin Consulting Inc.

For information on Winter Maintenance training for parking lots and sidewalks, and Winter Maintenance for Roads training:

Fortin Consulting Inc. 763-478-3606. connie@fortinconsulting.com and www.fortinconsulting.com.

MPCA Smart Salting Training: Level 1 (formerly the MPCA Level 1 Certification: Snow and Ice Control Best Practices) Minnesota Pollution Control Agency.

www.pca.state.mn.us/programs/roadsalt.html.

- Training schedule
- List of those certified
- Printable version of the training manuals
- Video of small site winter maintenance
- Other technical information on winter maintenance

Table of Contents

PURPOSE OF THIS MANUAL	VI
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	1
WATER AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF DEICING MATERIALS	3
<i>Impacts of Materials.....</i>	<i>6</i>
PREPARE FOR WINTER OPERATIONS	8
POLICIES/PLANS	8
TRAINING	8
STORAGE.....	9
WEATHER	10
PAVEMENT TEMPERATURE	10
GETTING PREPARED FOR WINTER.....	11
DRAINAGE	11
EQUIPMENT	12
CALIBRATION	12
<i>Simple Calibration for Salt Spreaders</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Ground Speed Controlled Spreaders</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Manual Controlled Spreaders.....</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Gravity Flow Equipment</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>What if calibration is not a practice?.....</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Calibration Charts</i>	<i>19</i>
MATERIALS.....	21
SPEED OF MELTING	22
PRACTICAL MELTING TEMPERATURE	22
TESTING	23
COST AND AVAILABILITY.....	24
SIDEWALK TIPS	24
BUILDING ENTRANCES.....	25
PARKING LOT TIPS.....	26
CALCULATING PARKING LOT OR SIDEWALK AREA	26
TAKE ACTION TO KEEP SURFACES CLEAR.....	28
WINTER MAINTENANCE BASICS.....	28
DEICING/ANTI-ICING INFORMATION	28
<i>Anti-icing.....</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>Pre-wetting and Pretreating Salt and Sand.....</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>Guidelines for Pretreating Stockpiles</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>Guidelines for Pre-wetting</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>Traction</i>	<i>33</i>
EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ACTIONS	36
AFTER THE STORM.....	36
DOCUMENTING AND CHARTING	36
APPLICATION RATES FOR REDUCED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	40
RESULTS	44
REFERENCES AND RESOURCES	50
APPENDIX.....	54
MATERIAL CONVERSIONS.....	54
COMMON CONVERSIONS	55
DEFINITIONS	55

List of Figures

Figure 1: Volume of road salt purchases.....	2
Figure 2: Distribution of road salt use.....	2
Figure 3: Available water (Freshwater Crisis n.d) and the water cycle.....	3
Figure 4: Comparison of lake chloride concentrations.....	4
Figure 5: Schematic of a saline water intrusion into a lake.....	5
Figure 6: “Witch’s broom” branching from salt spray.....	6
Figure 7: Incorrect salt storage: uncovered and placed in path of melting snow pile.....	9
Figure 8: Hand-held temperature sensor.....	10
Figure 9: Steps for calibrating an auger or conveyor system	14
Figure 10: Blank calibration form.....	15
Figure 11: Example calibration form with discharge and header information filled out.....	15
Figure 12: Example calibration form with pounds per lane mile filled out	16
Figure 13: Example calibration form with pounds per 1000 sq. ft. filled out	17
Figure 14: Example calibration chart for gravity flow equipment.....	18
Figure 15: Blank calibration chart for gravity flow equipment.....	19
Figure 16: Blank calibration chart for augured or conveyed manual controlled spreaders	20
Figure 17: Speed of melting	22
Figure 18: Lowest practical melting temperature	23
Figure 19: Dry salt vs. wet salt	31
Figure 20: Fine-tuning the program	37
Figure 21: Documentation form for anti-icing	38
Figure 22: Documentation form for deicing.....	39
Figure 23: Anti-icing application rates	40
Figure 24: Application rates for deicing	42
Figure 25 Material Conversions	54
Figure 26. Application Rate Conversion Charts	55

Purpose of this Manual

The purpose of this manual is to deliver practical advice to those managing parking lots and sidewalks. This manual will help to make proactive, cost-effective, environmentally conscious choices in winter parking lot and sidewalk management. This knowledge will provide the opportunity to become a leader in the industry by operating more efficiently and reducing environmental impacts.

A single approach will not work for the range of conditions Minnesota experiences; different strategies are needed for different regions and different weather conditions. We encourage continuing to test, document, and refine the practices from this manual.



*Throughout the manual **environmental tips** will be shown with a fish symbol. These tips will reduce environmental impacts from snow and ice control operations.*



*Throughout the manual **cost-saving tips** will be shown with a dollar symbol.*

Background Information

Good Business Choices

Customer service is the key to success. Best Management Practices (BMP) keep parking lots and sidewalks safe and also reduce environmental impacts. Educating customers on the proper methods of snow removal and ice control (and of the value of this approach) can create a good and long-standing relationship.

Customers want reliable service. Providing a well-planned and well-executed winter maintenance program will have a positive impact. Using the latest technologies will increase the ability to provide reliable service.

Customers want to hire educated winter maintenance professionals. This manual will provide the necessary information to increase staff knowledge on the best practices for winter maintenance.



Using the right amount of material at the right time, will save time and money.



Certification in Smart Salting is a good reflection on the professional and the organization.

Customers and the public want safe parking lots and sidewalks. Understanding the materials, weather and application rates, provide a head start on controlling icy, slippery parking lots, and sidewalks.

Clean and neat parking lots and sidewalks are important to the public. By using less material and increased winter sweeping, pedestrians will track less material into buildings and there will be less damage to flooring. Proper snow storage makes debris removal in the spring easier. Covered storage of deicers will reduce loss of material, protect water, and is more aesthetic. Using BMPs and lower application rates will keep parking lots and sidewalks looking neat.

Customers also want affordable snow and ice control. The use of sophisticated chemicals and equipment may require a larger budget up-front; however, these costs can be balanced by reduced on-going costs. Reducing the need for sweeping, floor and rug maintenance, parking lot striping, and snow and ice maintenance time will allow for cost savings.

Minnesota's value water resources and the protection of lakes, streams, and wetlands is important. Educating customers how sustainable winter maintenance protects water resources is necessary.



Using less material is an effective approach to protecting our water resources. It is difficult to recover salt or sand once applied.

Lake County Deicing Numbers

There are 3,910 road miles in Lake County totaling 22.8 square miles, and 8,640 acres totaling 13.5 square miles of parking lots. Salt (primarily sodium chloride) is commonly used for winter maintenance to remove snow and ice from these roads and parking lots. Figure 1 reflects the amount of salt purchased by Lake County government jurisdictions via the state contract price from 2009-2013.




Figure 1. Changes in volume of salt purchased from 2009 to 2013.

Note: There have been several winter seasons where additional salt was purchased from other suppliers or salt just wasn't available for purchase. For instance, the volume of salt that would have been purchased had it been available in the 2013-2014 winter season is greater than the amount shown in the state contract price purchases depicted in this graph. A total of \$14,262,032 was spent on salt purchases in the 3 years from 2010-2011 through 2012-2013.




Figure 2. The distribution of road salt based purchases from 2009 to 2013.

\$ One ton of rock salt (\$65-\$70) causes greater than \$1,450 in corrosion damage to bridges. (Sohangpurwala 2008)

Water and the Environmental Impacts of Deicing Materials

- Only 2.5 percent of all of the water on this planet is freshwater (not saltwater). Of that, less than 1 % is available for use. The majority of freshwater is frozen in the glaciers (*Freshwater Crisis* n.d.).





Figure 3. Available Water (*Freshwater Crisis* n.d.) and the Water Cycle (USGS hydrocycle)

- Water is recycled over long periods of time. We have a limited supply of water on this planet. Water is reused, recycled and dispersed as illustrated above.

Chloride concentrations are increasing in many surface waters in Lake County and throughout the Chicago metropolitan area.

- There are 169 lakes, river and stream segments in Lake County that are polluted enough to be on the 2012 federal list of impaired waters. The Skokie River, Middle and West Forks of the North Branch Chicago River, the Des Plaines River, Fox River, Buffalo Creek and Fiddle Creek are among the Lake County waters listed as being impaired by chloride. (For a list of Illinois' impaired waters, see <http://www.epa.state.il.us/water/water-quality/index.html>.)
- Lakes in Lake County have been monitored by the Lake County Health Department between April and October since the late 1980s. In general many county lakes are becoming more saline with increased road salt use. In 2013, the average concentration was 145 mg/L, with the highest concentration being measured in the first sample collected in early spring.
- Nearly 18% of water samples taken from Lake County lakes from 2005-2013 had chloride concentrations greater than the US EPA chronic standard of 230 mg/L. Maximum concentrations in Lake County exceeded 2,300 mg/L in lakes and over 8,000 mg/L in winter runoff.
- Between 2005 and 2013, chloride concentrations have dropped from the highest levels in a number of these lakes. This variation is due to the wetness of the year and the dilution that occurred in the lakes during relatively wet years.




Figure 4. Trends of chloride concentrations in deep water samples on a subset of lakes in Lake County Illinois.



Fathead Minnows will die if exposed to chloride concentrations of 443 mg/l for more than 30 days

(http://duluthstreams.org/understanding/impact_salt_2.html)

- Chloride is virtually a permanent pollutant that does not degrade and cannot be removed from surface waters. Once in the water, it continues to accumulate in the environment over time. Salt from paved surfaces dissolves flowing into the nearest storm drain with stormwater and snowmelt runoff or moves downhill to the nearest lake, river or pond.
- The concentration of chloride is increasing in our surface waters and groundwater. Salt water is heavier than freshwater and sinks to the bottom of the lakes. This may cause chemical stratification of the lake and loss of or changes in lake turn over ([Stefan et al. 2008](#)). The consequences of this can lead to a change in species and nutrient composition that could have devastating effects on the entire lake ecosystem.




Figure 5. Schematic of a saline water intrusion into a lake



Reduced road salt use = reduced salt in lakes and streams.

- Chloride concentrations exceed the state standard in some lakes and ponds. Several Lake County golf course and landscape ponds once used for irrigation can no longer be used because high chloride concentrations are killing plants. This necessitates finding expensive alternatives for irrigation.
- Chloride is also beginning to contaminate groundwater drinking sources in some locations. In a recent report published by the United States Geological Survey (USGS, 2009), 20 of 797 groundwater wells were discovered to have chloride concentrations greater than the concentration limit of 250 mg/L for drinking water (United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA, 1992)).
- Road salt runoff has reduced plant diversity in wetlands of Northern Illinois. Very high chloride concentrations have produced wetlands that can only support cattails and other halophilic (salt tolerant) vegetation.

- Reverse osmosis treatment is the only way to remove salt from water. This treatment is very expensive and not practical for our lakes, rivers, groundwater and wetlands. Therefore pollution prevention is important.

Deicers can be very damaging to both soil and vegetation. Efforts to keep salt off vegetation are needed: drive slower when applying deicers, turn down spinner speed to reduce spread pattern, use drop spreaders on sidewalks, store snow piles on hard surfaces, and reduce application rates. Sources of information about salt-tolerant plants include the Plant Selector Tool from Minnesota Department of Transportation

<http://www.mda.state.mn.us/plants/pestmanagement/eab/eabmanual/dotplantselector.aspx> or University of Wisconsin Extension Cooperative Extension publication *Winter Salt Injury and Salt-Tolerant Landscape Plants*, <https://pdf.countyofdane.com/myfairlakes/A3877.pdf>.



Salt spray damages budding and branching of trees.



Figure 6: “Witch’s broom” branching from salt spray

Impacts of Materials

Abrasives and deicers cause problems to infrastructure, drainage, water, vegetation, and soils when used in large quantities.

Abrasives: Winter Sand

- Runs off parking lots with water to the nearest storm drain entering lakes, wetlands, streams, and rivers.
- Fills in surface water bodies, accelerating the aging process.
- Covers habitat and disrupts the food chain.
- Irritates the gills of fish.
- Sand can carry with it other pollutants and nutrients that can have other negative effects on our lakes.
- Sand also tends to clog roadway drainage systems, which can cause flooding if not cleared.

Deicers are not “environmentally safe,” regardless of what the bag says.



About one teaspoon of salt can pollute five gallons of water. This applies to all chloride containing deicers.

Chlorides: commonly used for deicing are Sodium Chloride (road salt), Magnesium Chloride, Calcium Chloride, and Potassium Chloride.

- Road salt is the least expensive and most widely used deicer.
- Salt is corrosive to steel.
- Some products have corrosion inhibitors and anti-caking agents added that are often toxic.
- Chlorides frequently damage plants through contact or by interfering with soil properties.
- Salt can cause soil to lose its ability to retain water, leading to soil erosion.
- Excess salt can make soil more alkaline and compact, and less permeable, making it more difficult to store nutrients that plants need to grow.
- Chlorides cannot be removed by stormwater ponds, bioswales, rain gardens or other best management practices (BMPs) used to absorb and filter stormwater runoff.
- Chlorides cannot be removed from lakes, wetlands and rivers, and are persistent pollutants that will not break down over time.



Acetates can have a high biological oxygen demand (BOD), and can contribute to oxygen depletion in soil and water. Use carefully.

Acetates:

- Are non-chloride compounds.
- Are an organic substance.
- When added to water, compete with aquatic life for oxygen.
- Contribute nutrients which promote algal blooms in lakes and ponds.
- Most of the problems they cause in the water are relatively short term, but severe.
- Are usually safer for vegetation.
- Are less corrosive than salts.
- Have the potential to be treated by stormwater BMPs.



One lb. of phosphorus encourages growth of up to 500 lbs. of algae. Phosphorus is in plant-based products.

Plant-based additives (beet, corn, molasses):

- These additives do not contribute chloride to water but contribute nutrients (fertilizer).
- Cause an increase aquatic plant and algae growth.
- Use up oxygen in the lake or water body as they decompose.
- Have serious impacts, but not as long-lasting as chloride.

Prepare for Winter Operations

Policies/Plans

Start now—develop a maintenance policy or plan that guides winter operations. A little planning and communication up-front can help achieve better results throughout the season.

- Develop a maintenance policy or plan.
- Review the maintenance policy with the crew.
- Inform customers of the maintenance policy.
- In the plan:
 - list the key actions to take during a winter event
 - list the order of these actions
 - address the level of service
 - consider customer expectations, the hours and use of the building, priority access points and environmental concerns in the policy
 - spell out any additional strategies such as routes, chemicals, application rate ranges etc.
- Follow the plan.
- Document actions.
- Review and update the maintenance policy each year.



Our waters are threatened by contracts which are based on fees for material use. This encourages overuse of materials.



Reduce liability. Establish a maintenance policy and follow it.

Training

Reduce risk by having a solid written winter maintenance policy and training program that utilizes best management practices. Schedule training for supervisors, staff, and customers.

The MPCA has three Smart Salting training classes available:

1. Level 1 Winter maintenance of roads
2. Level 1 Winter maintenance of parking lots/sidewalks
3. Level 2 winter maintenance training for supervisory staff

Check the MPCA website to find out about upcoming training opportunities.

www.pca.state.mn.us/programs/roadsalt.html

Storage

Snow Piles:

- Store in an area where the solids can be recovered after the snow melts.
- Locate snow piles down-slope from salt and sand storage to prevent snow melt from flowing through salt or sand storage areas.
- Avoid pushing snow into lakes, ponds, wetlands, rivers, or other natural areas.

Salt and Salt/Sand Piles:

- Storage areas often cause groundwater or surface water contamination. These problems can be easily tracked back to the source. Do not skimp on proper storage. When locating new storage for liquid or granular products investigate local visual screening ordinances.
- Indoor storage is recommended.
- Store on an impervious (water proof) surface.
- Floor should be sloped away from the door.
- Sweep loading areas back into the pile.
- Store away from lakes, rivers, ditches, storm drains, and wetland edges.

Improper storage of salts can lead to groundwater contamination.



Figure 7: Incorrect salt storage: uncovered and placed in path of melting snow pile



Salt storage areas are often a source of groundwater contamination. To reduce risk, have a covered storage area on an impervious pad. Take measures to keep salt or salt brine from leaving storage area.

A common mistake is storing a salt pile downhill from a snow pile.

Salt Bags:

- Protect from rain or snow.
- Dispose of bags properly.
- Seal all open bags.

Liquids:

- Know the freezing point of the liquid. This will determine if it can be stored outdoors. Salt brine (NaCl) will freeze at -6° F.
- Tanks should be double-walled or have secondary containment. Secondary containment is like creating a bath tub around the tank so if the tank leaks, the “tub” captures the spill.
- Label the tank documenting its contents.
- For more information on storage tanks see www.pca.state.mn.us/cleanup/ast.html

Sand piles:

- Winter sand is typically mixed with some deicer to prevent freeze-up of the pile; therefore, sand pile storage should be the same as salt pile storage.
- Store leftover winter sand for next year. Do not use it for other purposes.



Prevent groundwater contamination. Do not locate storage areas near wells. Limestone regions with fissures and sinkholes are very prone to groundwater contamination, as are those with sandy soils.

Weather

Know existing and potential weather conditions for a successful snow and ice control operation. Monitor the weather closely to prepare to act early in storm situations. Check the National Weather Service <http://www.noaa.gov>, local TV stations, or website weather. A Road Weather Information System (RWIS) is available for free on-line at www.rwis.dot.state.mn.us. The RWIS provides real time pavement temperatures and other information from locations around the state.

Pavement Temperature

Pavement and air temperature are different. Know the pavement temperature to determine the proper amount and type of material to apply. Weather stations report air temperature which is measured at least 6 ft. in the air. The air temperature is not helpful when trying to determine what to apply to surfaces on the ground.

Air temperature measurements are generally the same in a given area, but pavement temperatures in the same general location can vary greatly. Pavement temperatures are influenced by exposure to sun, pavement type, and subsurface materials.



Figure 8: Hand-held temperature sensor

The same air temperature in November and January will often accompany very different pavement temperatures.

The hand-held temperature sensors can be purchased from auto part stores for less than \$100. Some temperature sensors only accurately monitor in the warm temperature range, confirm the one purchased measures in the cold temperature range. Mirror-mounted temperature sensors are also available; they are less likely to be lost or stolen, but do cost more and are generally not available at an auto parts store. RoadWatch by Commercial Vehicle Group, Inc. is one example of this type of sensor. A small investment in equipment will improve performance.



Knowing the pavement temperature allows the application of the proper amount of material for each situation.

Getting prepared for winter

- Calculate the area of the parking lots, service roads, and sidewalks.
- Understand the environmental problems caused by snow, salt, and sand storage. Determine where and how to best store each item.
- Understand the properties of various deicers, and then select the type(s) to use.
- Train the crew on proper application rates.
- Mark islands, fire hydrants, and other landmarks that could be obscured by snow.
- Note existing conditions of curbs and other items that might be damaged by winter maintenance activities.
- Note or mark all catch basins, manholes, sidewalk segments that may cause a hazard to the plow and the operator.

Drainage

Inspect storm drains in the fall. Remove obstructions such as leaves, sticks, and trash to prepare for the spring melt. Because storm drains lead to lakes, rivers, ponds, and wetlands, never use salt to open frozen storm drains. Salt used to thaw frozen drains harms aquatic life. Use non-chemical methods such as heat to open drains.

Poor drainage on the maintenance surfaces will result in icy surfaces and will increase the risk of safety problems. These areas cause the application of salt on non-snow event days in the winter. To remedy this, inventory the site and note drainage problems. Make a checklist so the professional or client can fix these drainage problems in the summer.

Examples of drainage problems:

- Roof that drips on the steps
- Downspout discharging on sidewalk
- Sidewalk segments sloped into a V
- Potholes or low spots in parking lots



Storm drains lead to the nearest lake, river, pond, or wetland. They do not go to a treatment plant.

Equipment

The trend in winter maintenance is to use less material to accomplish the same results. Following this trend will reduce environmental impacts and save money. Below are listed a few tips, but there are many other innovations in the equipment area that can help to reduce application rates.

- Purchase a pavement sensor (Figure)
- Buy equipment that can deliver very low rates of granular products.
- Outfit larger trucks with ground speed controls so that the application rate changes automatically as the speed changes.
- Modify existing equipment so that it can discharge the application rates described in the “Application Rates” section. Older equipment often applies more salt than recommended.
- Outfit sidewalk spreaders with shields to better direct the spread pattern.
- Invest in equipment that can deliver liquid deicers.
- Obtain a tank for liquid storage or find a near-by source of liquids to fill up trucks.

Calibration

Calibration is an essential procedure to understand how much material will be discharged at a given setting. No matter how sophisticated or simple the operation, calibrate each piece of equipment in the fall of the year.

Simple Calibration for Salt Spreaders

If the equipment has different settings, it must be calibrated for each setting and for each product, as they all flow differently. It generally takes a team of two or three people to calibrate equipment efficiently.

**Calibration tells
how much
material will be
applied at each
setting.**


Ground Speed Controlled Spreaders

Ground speed controlled spreaders are run by a computer in the cab and are tied to the speedometer and an auger or conveyor sensor in the rear of the truck. The application rate is set and the computer regulates the amount of salt discharged (regardless of the speed traveled) consistently. Most equipment used for winter maintenance of roads have ground speed controls. These are more effective and efficient systems than the manually controlled systems.

The equipment vendor will have specific calibration instructions for the operation based the type and brand of equipment. Contact them; it is in their best interest to provide instructions to calibrate and correct product use. This is the basic principle behind calibrating a ground speed controlled spreader:

- The speedometer input (sensor) lets the controller know how fast or slow the truck is traveling.
- The auger or conveyor input (sensor) tells the controller how fast or slow the auger is turning.
- To calibrate a ground oriented controller, input the pounds discharged per revolution.
- Once the computer knows the pounds/ revolution, it will calculate the necessary auger speed needed to hit the target application rates at the speed the truck is traveling.

**Best bet:
Contact
manufacturer
for calibration
instructions.**

 ***Calibration allows accurate deicer use.***

Manual Controlled Spreaders

Manual controlled spreaders fall into two categories. Those that have an auger or conveyor and those that are gravity fed. They operate by selecting a setting that changes the size of the discharge opening and/or the auger or conveyor speed. More or less salt may be discharged depending on the speed of application. Most parking lot and sidewalk spreaders fit into one of these two categories.

The basic principle behind calibrating an auger/conveyor spreader is to choose a setting, run the spreader for a timed interval, and weigh the discharge. Record the discharge and repeat for all settings. In the end, there will be data that tells the operator how much material will be delivered at each setting.

With this information, the operator can choose the proper setting. Without this information, they have no guidance on which setting to use. Calibration is different for gravity fed spreaders. There is more detail on this in a few pages.

**All good
programs are
based on
calibration of
equipment.**



Apply wisely. The chemicals applied cannot be recovered.



Surfaces such as pervious asphalt, pervious concrete, and pervious pavers do not experience refreeze. All melted snow and ice travel to the storage layer below the surface. Salt is generally not needed on these surfaces and sand should be avoided.

Auger or conveyor systems




Figure 9: Steps for calibrating an auger or conveyor system

Example: Step #1, blank calibration form

See the References and Resources section for a full size form to copy for calibration. This is how the empty form looks. (Keep a stack of these on a clipboard when ready to begin the calibration.)

CALIBRATION CHART FOR AUGER OR CONVEYOR SYSTEMS

DATE _____ SPREADER # _____ MATERIAL _____

SETTING	POUNDS PER MINUTE	5 MPH (x12)	10 MPH (x6)	15 MPH (x4)	20 MPH (x3)
1					
2					
3					

Figure 10: Blank calibration form

Example: Step #2, calibration form filled out during calibration

Fill in the header information and column 2, the discharge weight per setting.

CALIBRATION CHART FOR AUGER OR CONVEYOR SYSTEMS

DATE 8-Aug-15 SPREADER # A4219 MATERIAL Rock Salt

SETTING	POUNDS PER MINUTE	5 MPH (x12)	10 MPH (x6)	15 MPH (x4)	20 MPH (x3)
1	10				
2	22				
3	34				

Figure 11: Example calibration form with discharge and header information filled out

Example: Step #3, calibration form ready to put in truck for road application

Back in the shop, do the calculations to fill in the rest of the blanks. Multiply the weight in column 2 with the multiplier in the top row. This provides the pounds per mile that needed to fill in the table.

CALIBRATION CHART FOR AUGER OR CONVEYOR SYSTEMS

DATE 8-Aug-15 SPREADER # A4219 MATERIAL Rock Salt

SETTING	POUNDS PER MINUTE	5 MPH (x12)	10 MPH (x6)	15 MPH (x4)	20 MPH (x3)
1	10	* 120	60	40	30
		**			
2	22	264	132	88	66
3	34	408	204	136	102

* top half of each row = lbs/lane mile. To get this number, multiply lbs/min by the factor shown for each speed.

** bottom half of each row = lbs/1000 sq.ft. To find this, divide the number in the top half by 63.

Figure 12: Example calibration form with pounds per lane mile filled out



Some fish species are affected by concentrations of less than 1000 ppm NaCl, about 1 to 1.5 tablespoons of salt in 5 gallons of water.

Example: Step #4, calibration form ready to zip tie to hand spreader or put in truck for parking lot application.

Divide by 63 to convert pounds per lane mile to pounds per 1,000 square feet. This is very useful for parking lot and sidewalk applications.

CALIBRATION CHART FOR AUGER OR CONVEYOR SYSTEMS

DATE 8-Aug-15 SPREADER # A4219 MATERIAL Rock Salt

SETTING	POUNDS PER MINUTE	5 MPH (x12)	10 MPH (x6)	15 MPH (x4)	20 MPH (x3)
1	10	*120	60	40	30
		**1.9	1.0	0.6	0.5
2	22	264	132	88	66
		4.2	2.1	1.4	1.0
3	34	408	204	136	102
		6.5	3.2	2.2	1.6

* top half of each row = lbs/line mile. To get this number, multiply lbs/min by the factor shown for each speed.

** bottom half of each row = lbs/1000 sq.ft. To find this, divide the number in the top half by 63.

Figure 13: Example calibration form with pounds per 1000 sq. ft. filled out

Gravity Flow Equipment

This is applicable for equipment that does not have a motorized delivery system such as an auger. This type of equipment might be a pickup mounted spreader, gator mounted spreader or a hand push spreader. Gravity flow equipment is typically controlled by gate opening and speed of application.

Step 1: Calculate discharge rate

- Mark out a 10-foot stretch of pavement. (By increasing the size of the test area i.e., the longer the test area, the more accurate the results will be).
- Sweep it clean of sand or any other material.
- Using a constant speed, apply one pass of material to the test area.
- Measure the width the material is spread or bounces, in feet.
- Sweep up and weigh the material that is within the marked 10-foot stretch.
- Record the lever position/setting for the gate/chute. If there are no numbers for the positions, make permanent marks on the equipment to identify the positions.
- To improve accuracy, repeat this two more times and calculate the average weight of material applied.
- Record results in columns A, B, C, and D (Figure 14).

Step 2: Repeat step #1 for various settings.

Step 3: Fill out chart.

- Fill out columns E, F, and G (Figure).
- If using more than one type of material, repeat the test for each material.
- Place the completed calibration chart with the equipment.

Shortcuts:

- Put down a tarp over the application area; this makes it quicker to recover and weigh material.
- After the first pass, put a bag around spreader to catch discharge material. The first pass is needed to determine the spread width.

Calculate application rate:

Equipment: _____ Material: _____ Date: _____

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Speed	Lever position or gate setting	Pounds spread in 10 feet*	Spread width in feet	Coverage area in sq. ft. (D x 10)*	Application rate in lbs./1000 ft ² (1000/E x C)	Application rate in lbs./lane mile (12' width) (F x 63.4)
.....EXAMPLE.....						
20 MPH	Half-closed	0.4 lbs.	13 feet	130 sq. ft.	3.1 lbs. per 1000 sq. ft.	196 lbs./mile

* If changing the test strip length, adjust the title in column C and the multiplier in column E.

Figure 14: Example calibration chart for gravity flow equipment

What if calibration is not a practice?

Even without calibrating the equipment, the amount of material to use can be determined but will take more time to calculate. Know the material, the size of the area to be treated, and the pavement temperature, then consult the application rate chart (application rate section) and do the math. Without calibration, the way to evenly distribute the recommended amount across the maintenance area must be determined by the professional. This approach may work well for treating sidewalks using the “chicken feed” method. For example:

- 20°F degrees pavement temperature and rising
- Using dry salt
- Sidewalk is 2,000 square feet
- Table recommends 2.25 lbs. per 1,000 square feet (for this situation)
- Measure about 4.5 lbs. of salt
- Figure out a way to spread it evenly over the 2,000 sq. ft. surface



***Sand fills in lake bottoms, accelerating the aging process of lakes.
Lakes get shallower as they age, some eventually becoming wetlands.***

[illegible]

Figure 15: Blank calibration chart for gravity flow equipment

CALIBRATION CHART FOR AUGER OR CONVEYOR SYSTEMS

DATE _____ SPREADER # _____ MATERIAL _____

SETTING	POUNDS PER MINUTE	<u>Quantity</u> Area	5 MPH (x12)	10 MPH (x6)	15 MPH (x4)	20 MPH (x3)
1		lbs/lane mile				
		lbs/1000 sq.ft.				
2		lbs/lane mile				
		lbs/1000 sq.ft.				
3		lbs/lane mile				
		lbs/1000 sq.ft.				
4		lbs/lane mile				
		lbs/1000 sq.ft.				
5		lbs/lane mile				
		lbs/1000 sq.ft.				
6		lbs/lane mile				
		lbs/1000 sq.ft.				

Figure 16: Blank calibration chart for augured or conveyed manual controlled spreaders

Materials

All products have pros and cons. No one material is suitable for every condition. It is best to have a variety to choose from to select the one that works the best, with the least amount applied, in a specific situation. Understand the melting properties of the deicers; do not use the product without understanding how it works.

The best way to reduce impacts, save money and maintain customer satisfaction is to:

- Know what is in the product.
- Know the product's practical melting range.
- Use it only when it will be effective.
- Use the minimum amount needed to get the job done.
- Seek out products that allow for smaller application rates.

Abrasives:

- Sand is an abrasive; it does not melt snow and ice.
- Abrasives provide traction on top of packed snow or ice.
- Abrasives and deicers typically work better alone, rather than together.
- A small amount of salt must be mixed in the sand to keep it from freezing in the pile (less than 10%).

Salts:

- Sodium Chloride, Magnesium Chloride, Potassium Chloride and Calcium Chloride are all considered "salts."
- Salt is a deicer; it will melt snow and ice.
- Salt lowers the freezing point of water from 32° F to a colder temperature.
- Salt must be dissolved to work; therefore, liquids act faster than solids.
- Salts have different melting characteristics, depending on the selection.
- Road salt (NaCl) is a granular product and is mined from the earth.
- Magnesium Chloride (MgCl_2) and Calcium Chloride (CaCl_2) can naturally occur as liquids.
- MgCl_2 and CaCl_2 are hygroscopic, drawing moisture from the air to the pavement.
- Salt brine (NaCl) is commonly used at a 23.3% concentration as this has the lowest freezing point and can be stored and handled down to -6°F.

Acetates:

- Acetates are chemically manufactured, not mined from the earth.
- Acetates are more expensive than chloride salts.
- Acetates are less corrosive than salts.
- They have a wide melting range, depending on the selection.
- They are not better or worse than chloride salts, they are different.

Plant-based additives

Corn, beet, molasses, or other organic additives are added to salt or salt brine to change its performance, though how they function as an ice melting agent is not well understood. Clear Roads (2015), a national resource consortium of state agencies, is studying this issue.

- Often have very low ice melt capacity.
- May be used to reduce corrosion.
- Are sticky and may help dry material stay on the surface longer.

Speed of Melting

Will the road salt used have time to work or is it better to switch to a different deicer? This chart will aid in making that decision.

Pavement Temp. °F	One Pound of dry Salt (NaCl) melts	Melt Times
30	46.3 lbs. of ice	5 min.
25	14.4 lbs. of ice	10 min.
20	8.6 lbs. of ice	20 min.
15	6.3 lbs. of ice	1 hour
10	4.9 lbs. of ice	Dry salt is ineffective and will blow away before it melts anything
5	4.1 lbs. of ice	
0	3.7 lbs. of ice	
-6	3.2 lbs. of ice	

Figure 17: Speed of melting



Do not use dry road salt below 15° F. This wastes money and time.

Practical Melting Temperature

Use care when reading the melting temperature on bags of deicers. The package often lists the eutectic temperature, which is the lowest possible melting temperature. At this temperature, it would take a very long time to melt ice. Instead, use the lowest practical melting temperatures in the chart below or ask the supplier for the practical melting temperature and the time it takes to melt ice at that temperature. It should be noted that there is not a standard measure for determining the lowest practical melting temperature. Across the literature there are a range of values. In the References and Resources section there are lists of several websites to learn more about the lowest practical melting temperature.

Chemical	Lowest Practical Melting Temp.	Eutectic Temp.	Optimal Concentration
NaCl (Sodium Chloride) —Delivered as rock salt, can be made into a brine. The basis of many bagged blends. Corrosive. Inexpensive. Very available. Most commonly used without a corrosion inhibitor added, but corrosion inhibited products are available.	15° F	-6° F	23%
MgCl₂ (Magnesium Chloride) —Delivered primarily as a liquid, other forms available. Used for anti-icing, pre-wetting and stockpile treatments. Corrosive. Higher cost. Often has a corrosion inhibitor added. Often added to salt brine.	-10° F	-28° F	27 to 30%
CaCl₂ (Calcium Chloride) —Delivered as flakes, pellets, or liquid. Corrosive. Most effective ice melter at very cold temperatures. Sometimes used incorrectly to open storm drains. Higher cost. Often has a corrosion inhibitor added. Often added to salt brine.	-20° F	-60° F	30%
CMA (Calcium Magnesium Acetate) —Delivered as a powder, crystals, pellets, or liquid. Liquid CMA is used mainly on automated bridge deicing systems. Non-corrosive to steel, biodegradable. Alternative for areas where chloride use must be limited. Higher cost.	20° F	-18° F	32%
KAc (Potassium Acetate) —Delivered as a liquid. Often used on automated bridge deicing systems and airports. Use for anti-icing, deicing. Non-corrosive to steel but corrosive to galvanized, biodegradable. Alternative for areas where chloride use must be limited. Higher cost.	-15° F	-76° F	50%
Blends — Both chlorides and acetates exist in blends. Talk to the supplier and determine the lowest practical melting temperature, the optimal concentration and the basic components in the blend. Most blends are centered on rock salt since it is cheap.			
Winter Sand/Abrasives —Winter sand has salt mixed in it to keep it from freezing. Abrasives should be used for cold temperatures when deicers are not effective. Want to minimize salt % in sand.	Never melts—provides traction only		

Figure 18: Lowest practical melting temperature

Testing

When ordering a liquid product in bulk, test it. If it is NaCl brine it should be 23.3%. If it is another liquid, use the vendor recommended density and test to confirm. Hydrometers are the tools for testing the density of liquids. They are inexpensive and look similar to a thermometer.

Research the product, understand the practical melting temperature, and know the list of ingredients. Take time to test the materials to ensure that they perform as expected. Product labeling can be confusing; some list the eutectic temperature while others list the lowest practical melting temperature.

The list of ingredients may or may not be included. Often, the percentage of each ingredient is not included. Do not rely solely on the bag or on the manufacturer's literature. There are no labeling requirements; manufacturers can choose to label products in their own way.

Cost and Availability

Of the deicers, NaCl is typically the cheapest and easiest to find. Because of this, it is widely used and overused. Sodium chloride is only effective at pavement temperatures above 15°F. Because it doesn't work well at colder temperatures, it is often over-applied in attempts to increase its effectiveness. At temperatures lower than 15°F degrees, switch to a different deicer.

Non-chloride deicers are more difficult to find and often cost more. Take the time to source and try non-chloride products if there are concerns from the professionals or customers about the long-term effects of chlorides on the lakes and rivers. All deicers have environmental impacts but the impacts of chlorides are very long-term.

Salt and sand mixes are commonly used to stretch the salt budget. This is an ineffective practice. Salt and sand work against each other. To save money, use deicers when melting is needed and sand for temporary traction to buy time at temperatures too low for deicers to be effective. Pre-wetted sand has shown to be effective in keeping sand in place longer on icy surfaces.



Using 50/50 salt/sand mix is generally half right or half wrong. Using a salt/sand mix leads to over application of both materials.

Sidewalk Tips

Always remove snow prior to applying deicers. Plow, blow, or sweep first; the chances of refreeze diminish and slush build-up is minimized.

- Sidewalks are the most over-salted areas in winter maintenance.
- Use drop spreaders, not rotary spreaders. If using a rotary spreader, install shields to restrict the spread pattern. This minimizes the application rate and protects the vegetation.
- Many slip and fall incidents occur within 10 ft. of the curb lines. Adjust practices to include proactive measures like anti-icing.
- If the professional is not responsible for sidewalk maintenance, consider providing this information to the responsible party.
- Focus on aggressive mechanical removal of snow. The less snow, the less deicer required. This will lend itself to a safer surface.
- Deicers can harm heated sidewalks.
- Abrasives can harm permeable pavers or permeable concrete.



Salt only needs to melt 1/16 inch to prevent the bond between the pavement and the ice. Save money by applying salt before the ice bonds to the surface it doesn't need to melt through the ice.

Building Entrances

Steps are often the most over-salted area in all of winter maintenance. This overabundance of deicer causes damage to floors inside the building as salt and/or sand is tracked in. It causes problems outside of the building with deterioration of concrete and metal structures. Over applying deicer costs more money than necessary, pollutes the water, and does not provide any additional safety. The right amount of deicer and proper mechanical removal of snow and ice will yield better results.

A free short video for small site winter maintenance is available at:



www.pca.state.mn.us/programs/roadsalt.html. It is designed for those that do winter maintenance of small sites such as stairs, curb cuts, and handicap ramps. The video is a visual instruction tool useful for those who apply granular deicer to small areas outside building entrances. It recommends:

- Do site assessments, document drainage problems, and fix them in the summer (e.g., roof that drips on steps, downspout that drains to sidewalk).
- Always remove snow prior to applying deicers. The less snow, the less deicer required for a safer walking surface.
- Use the proper tool for snow and ice removal:
 - Push shovel (no sides) for pushing snow.
 - Scoop shovel (sides) for lifting snow.
 - Broom or blower for light fluffy snow.
 - Ice scraper for use under ice and compaction.
 - Ice chisel for breaking open compaction, or under ice and compaction.
- Use hand-held spreaders to disperse deicers. Spreaders:
 - Provide more even distribution.
 - Reduce amount needed.
 - Reduce tracking into buildings.
 - Save money with reduced salt application.
 - Save infrastructure: less salt, less corrosion.
- Look for opportunities to close extra building entrances during the winter. High maintenance, non-essential entrances are perfect candidates.

To determine the amount of deicer needed for steps, stairs, and small sites:

- Refer to the application rate chart.
- For those who do maintenance as a small part of their job, it is unlikely they will ever use an application rate chart. Here are some guidelines to get them closer to the proper rates. The goal should be:
 - Even spread pattern with granules no farther than 3 in. apart
 - Even spread pattern with no granules touching each other
 - No piles of deicer
 - No deicer on dry pavement
 - No deicer in vegetation



Handheld spreaders and shakers, not scoops, should be used to apply deicer to steps and building entrances. This will save at least 50% of the salt normally used per winter without reducing the level of safety.

Parking Lot Tips

- It is hard to walk and push shopping carts through salt and sand accumulations in parking lots. Sand is ineffective once it is on the pavement. Sweep it up to prevent a slippery situation.
- Handicap parking spots are often over-salted and over-sanded. They should get the same amount of salt or sand as other areas.
- Sand/salt mix generally isn't advised; however, it may help in freezing rain situations.
- Always plow before applying materials.
- It may be possible to use a lower rate in high traffic areas. Traffic tends to help mix and melt.
- Store snow downhill from any salt storage areas. Avoid water running through salt storage.

About 1 tsp of salt will pollute 5 gallons of water...forever! (Federal Chloride standard is 230 mg/l).

Calculating Parking Lot or Sidewalk Area

The amount of deicer needed is based on the size of the parking lot. Here are simple ways to calculate the area of the parking lot.

Ask the property owner for a scaled map of the facility to calculate areas.

Ask the property owner for the size of the area to be treated.

Measure the parking lot.

Use an internet mapping tool to calculate areas.

The area, or square feet, of a square or rectangle is:




Length (L) X Width (W)

The area, or square feet, of a circle is:

πr^2 or $3.14 \times (r \times r)$ where **r** is half of the distance across the circle.

The area, or square feet, of a right triangle is:

Length (L) X Width (W) divided by 2



Measuring the area, along with knowing the pavement temperature, will allow the use of the application rate charts. This will help to reduce the amount of chemicals applied.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Take Action to Keep Surfaces Clear

Winter Maintenance Basics

- Anti-ice before the storm.
- Remove snow from surfaces as quickly as possible to reduce compaction.
- Plow before applying deicers to avoid dilution of the salt.
- Minimize deicer use during the storm.
- Never plow or blow snow into bodies of water, wetlands, traffic or into streets.
- Minimize back-up maneuvers to reduce chance of accidents.
- Limit use of salt and sand during the storm; use only to reduce bonding.
- Do not use salt to burn off snow.
- Use application rate chart to determine how much salt to use.
- Don't apply dry salt (sodium chloride) below 15° F pavement temperature. It will not melt fast enough to help.
- Below 15° F, use a wetted salt.
- For extreme cold, skip melting and use sand.
- Clean up spills.
- Accurately record the material used at each site.
- Pay attention to its effectiveness and record observations.
- Use only what is needed based on proper application rates for the conditions.
- Put extra back in salt pile or return extra bags.



Because it uses less materials, mechanical snow and ice removal is the best strategy for protecting the water.

Loading/hauling

- Don't overfill the truck or spreader: material will spill out as it is driven or pushed. This is a common practice and wastes salt.
- Large snow piles may need to be hauled to another site.
- Schedule this work when the mall or facility is closed to minimize conflicts with vehicles and pedestrians.
- Clean up loading area, sweep extra back into the pile.

Deicing/Anti-Icing Information

Anti-icing



Anti-icing is the most cost-effective and environmentally safe practice in winter maintenance. This is the direction to pursue.

Anti-icing is a proactive approach. It should be first in a series of strategies for each winter storm. Applying a small and strategic amount of liquid on the pavement before a storm will prevent snow and ice from bonding to the pavement. This buys time for the clean-up efforts.

Anti-icing is like frying eggs: grease the pan and the eggs come out easily with no mess to clean up. Like greasing the frying pan, the purpose of anti-icing is to keep snow from sticking to the pavement. Anti-icing provides safer pavement during the event and faster clean up after the event.



Anti-icing requires about $\frac{1}{4}$ the material and $\frac{1}{10}$ the overall cost of deicing. It can increase safety at the lowest cost, and is effective and cost-efficient when correctly used and approached with realistic expectations.

Anti-icing prevents formation of frost. It can be effective for up to several days depending on the weather conditions.

\$ Anti-icing is quick. It is possible to treat a parking lot in a matter of minutes. It is an excellent strategy for saving time. Charging by the hour is not a desired practice when changing to anti-icing.

Get started in anti-icing

The basic equipment needed includes: pavement temperature sensor, storage tank, spray truck system, transfer pump, hoses, and fittings.

What to do

- Calibrate.
- Use application rates suggested in the Rate section of this manual.
- Apply with stream nozzles to maintain dry areas between sprayed areas to reduce slipperiness. Stream nozzles work the best for anti-icing.
- If using fan nozzles apply extra light. This makes a dry surface wet and users will have less friction until it dries.
- Try anti-icing in a low traffic area to build confidence about its properties.
- Read some of anti-icing guidelines in the reference section of this manual.

Stream nozzles prevent slippery conditions better than fan spray.

Test the application rates and spray pattern to become confident in preventing the bonding of ice without creating a slimy or slippery situation.

What not to do

- Do not re-apply if there is still residue. It can remain many days after application.
- Do not apply MgCl_2 or CaCl_2 to a warm surface (above 35°F pavement temperature). It can become “greasy” as it pulls moisture to the pavement. These liquids do not always become greasy, but there is a higher potential in warmer temperatures and higher humidity.
- Do not over apply MgCl_2 or CaCl_2 .
- Do not apply liquids before a rain storm. They will wash away.

Too little CaCl_2 or MgCl_2 is safer than too much.

Anti-icing Tips

- Liquids are more efficient than solids and may be applied days in advance of an event.
- It is better to use less than more. Over-application can cause slippery conditions because it makes a dry surface wet.
- Anti-icing is often effective for heavy frosts.
- Liquids are dispersed by the traffic. Spray the traffic lanes and the liquid will migrate with the tires to the parking areas.
- Some users advise against spraying the service road in front of buildings and instead spray traffic lanes and back service roads to allow the traffic to spread the liquids near the building where foot traffic is higher. This can reduce tracking into the building and over-application in a high-traffic area.
- For service roads on hills, some users recommend applying to only the top half of the hill, relying on traffic to carry it down the hill, to avoid a slippery situation at the bottom of the hill.
- Anti-ice when weather forecasts indicate a need. Do not anti-ice on a regular schedule, e.g., every Friday.
- Early application is particularly important for frost or light freezing drizzle.
- Pretreated or pre-wet materials are not as efficient as liquids for anti-icing. These require more material overall than with liquids. The pre-wet granular materials don't stay in place as well as the liquids. Pre-wet granular solids can work if applied at very low application rates immediately before the snow event.



Anti-icing requires less material, and less material means less water pollution.



Using less salt doesn't have to reduce safety, but it does protect the lakes, streams and groundwater.

Equipment

- Anti-icing unit, e.g., transport vehicle with tank.
- For larger trucks: Stream nozzles (minimum eight holes), 8-inch spacing, bar height 12 to 14 inches from surface, 30 to 35 psi at the bar. These can be purchased or constructed.
- Solid stream – fan spray is more apt to make slippery conditions.
- A hand held pump sprayer or backpack sprayer can be used for sidewalks. Remember to leave a pattern of wet and dry to reduce the chance of creating slippery conditions.
- There are many ways to retrofit a pick-up truck or ATV with a tank and one of the following boom, spray bar, or hose reel with sprayer.
- A shutoff switch in the cab is recommended.

Deicing

Deicing is a reactive operation where a deicer is applied to the top of an accumulation of snow, ice, or frost that has already bonded to the pavement surface and can no longer be physically removed. Deicing costs more than anti-icing in materials, time, equipment, and environmental damage. Deicing is the “traditional” approach to winter maintenance.

Removing ice that has already bonded to the pavement is difficult. Removing it mechanically can damage equipment and surfaces. Generally, enough ice must be melted chemically to break the bond between the ice and the pavement. This requires larger amounts of chemical, making deicing much less efficient than anti-icing.

Use the application rate table (Figure) to help with deicing. Using recommended rates will help with these common problems:

- Over-salting. Most over-salting can be prevented by using calibrated spreaders and good judgment in selecting application rates based on pavement temperatures.
- Trying to melt everything. Don’t try to melt all the snow on the surface with salt. This is an overuse of materials. Apply just enough to loosen the bond between the pavement surface and the snowpack so it can be plowed off.

The goal is not to melt everything. The goal is to penetrate through the ice and snow and break the bond so the pavement can be plowed.

Pre-wetting and Pretreating Salt and Sand

Pre-wetting and pretreating both mean getting dry material wet. Salt only melts snow and ice when it is in solution (dissolved). Dry salt does nothing until it is dissolved. Liquids applied to dry salt jump-start the melting process and penetrate ice and snow pack faster. Wet materials stick to the pavement and are less likely to end up in the nearby vegetation.

When integrating the liquids, decrease the application rate of the granular product. This is a common mistake made by new users of liquids.




Photo courtesy
of Wisconsin
DOT
transportation
bulletin #22

Figure 19: Dry salt vs. wet salt

- There are many types of liquid deicers.
- Salt brine is a common liquid deicer made from water and rock salt (NaCl).
- Brines can contain other ingredients besides water and rock salt.
- Pre-wetting (truck treatment) is the process of adding liquid to solids as they are applied to the pavement.
- Pretreating is the process of adding liquid to solids in the stockpile.
- Adding brine to dry salt, the combination will work faster than dry salt.
- Use magnesium chloride (MgCl₂) or calcium chloride (CaCl₂) liquid with dry salt, it will work faster and at lower temperatures.
- Wet material bounces less.



Generally it is possible to use 1/3 less material when using a pre-wet or pretreat, and it works faster than dry salt!

Guidelines for Pretreating Stockpiles

Pretreating is mixing a liquid deicer into the stockpile of salt or sand. It can be purchased as a product already mixed and ready to use or can be created. To create a pre-treated stockpile, add liquids conservatively. The dry material can only hold so much liquid before leaching occurs. Watch the storage area to make sure it can contain the wet salt pile. Test mixing skills and observe the amount of leaching on a small stockpile before doing this on a large scale.

Treating the salt stockpile:

- Spray and mix the salt stockpile with a liquid deicing chemical (not brine).
- When treating the stockpile, apply at 6 to 8 gallons/ton, less is better.
- Because leach risk at a stockpile is increased, proper storage is critical.
- To minimize problems, mix up pre-wet materials per storm event not per season.

Treated Stockpile Application:

- Pretreated salt can be applied with a pickup truck, a dump truck, a drop, or rotary spreader or almost any type of equipment used for dry salt.
- No equipment changes are required.
- Remember to turn down the application rate; it requires 1/3 less material than dry salt.



Cover salt and salt/sand piles and place on an impervious pad to limit runoff and infiltration of chlorides.



Covering the stockpile protects the salt from wind, rain and snow and reduces waste.

Guidelines for Pre-wetting

Pre-wetting is combining liquid from a tank to dry material from the hopper at the point of discharge. The most common set up is on a truck but it can be configured for smaller equipment. The liquid and granular usually come together at the spinner or auger.

While pre-wetting requires some equipment changes, it provides flexibility to switch the amount and type of liquid.

Tips:

- Turn down the application rate; 1/3 less material than dry salt is needed.
- Add tanks and hoses on the truck.
- Turn on/off the liquid from inside the cab.
- Synchronize the flow of liquids with the flow of solids to achieve the proper mix.
- A common application rate is 8 -14 gallons/ton for salt brine, 6-8 gallons/ton for MgCl_2 .
- The higher the liquid to granular ratio, the faster it works. Some go up to 60 gallons per ton.
- Pre-wetting with a chemical other than salt brine can improve cold weather performance.
- Pre-wetting is most practical with a dump truck. Use of a pickup truck may be limited due to the weight of the material.
- Think twice before mixing chemicals to form “hotter” brine. This is where problems often occur.



Use cautiously. Many deicers contain trace metals including cyanide, arsenic, lead, and mercury.



Two common overuses of salt 1) applying to already wet surfaces, and 2) not giving the material enough time to work and adding more salt on top of the first application of salt.

Traction

Use winter sand and other abrasives when temperatures are too cold for deicing chemicals to be effective. But be aware that sand does not melt anything. It provides temporary traction and only when it is on top of snow and ice. When sand is in contact with the pavement, sweep it up. It is no longer useful and can only harm the environment. Sand on dry pavement can cause skidding.

Advantages of sand (abrasives):

- Short-term traction: Abrasives can be helpful in areas of slow moving traffic, such as intersections.
- Useful in very cold temperatures when chemicals will not work.

Disadvantages of sand:

- Sand is not cheap when considering handling, clean-up, and disposal costs.
- Sand will be tracked into lots or buildings. Sweep this up as often as possible and dispose of it properly.

- Used sand is contaminated with various pollutants such as oil, grease, metal, and rubber and should not be used for clean fill unless screened. It should not be used for sandboxes or beaches, and should not be dumped in wetlands, fields, or any natural area.
- Once sand is driven over many times, the particles are much finer and can cause air quality concerns.
- Cannot be used over pervious asphalt, pervious concrete, or pervious pavers.

Sweeping

Sweep the sand from the parking lot areas midwinter as well as in the spring. Trailer-type power sweepers are available that can be hauled behind a pickup truck. Smaller power brooms or sweepers may be used on sidewalks. Workers should wear a dust mask to avoid inhalation of the fine dust particles.

- Used sand is contaminated with pollutants such as oil, grease, metal, and rubber.
- Sweepings often can be brought to a landfill. Inform landfill operator in advance.
- Keep children from playing on the sweeping piles.
- Sweepings may be reused by some industries.
- Before reusing sweepings the trash, leaves and other debris should be removed. This is often accomplished by screening. When screening sweepings for reuse, use a small mesh for the final screening to ensure that all of the larger debris has been removed. (A 3/4-inch mesh will screen out much of the debris.) Dispose of trash and debris removed from the sweepings by recycling it (e.g., aluminum cans), composting it (e.g., leaves), or sending it to a sanitary landfill.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Evaluate the effectiveness of actions

After the Storm

When snow and ice control operations have ended after the storm, evaluate what was done, what worked, and what could be changed to improve operations.

- Have an after-the-storm discussion with the maintenance crew.
- Have an after-the-storm discussion with the property manager.
- Consider the environmental impacts caused by deicer/abrasive use.
- Based on all aspects of the storm fighting experience, look for ways to improve practices.
- Clean and check all equipment.
- At the end of the season, clean and maintain the truck, tanks, brine-making systems, and pumps according to manufacturer specifications.
- Do not use deicers at the end of the season just to use them up. Save them for next year. Place all piles on an impervious pad and cover them. This includes salt and salt/sand mixes.
- If there is no place for year round storage, contact the city, they may be willing to purchase it, take it, or store it. Everyone benefits from good storage and less salt in our water.

Save extra salt at the end of the season. Do not apply just to get rid of it.

Documenting and charting

Good documentation leads to reduced use of materials, more effective snow and ice control, reduced environmental impacts, and cost savings.

- Without documentation and charts, there is no measurement of performance.
- Track the material use. Learn to record what and how much is applied at each site, from each visit. Be prepared to analyze and make adjustments to the process based on what is learned.
- Use forms like those shown (Figure and Figure) to record and track the work and observations.




Figure 20: Fine-tuning the program



There is no management of what is not measured.



Following Best Practices and documenting actions will help prove the professional is doing the best job possible and may reduce liability.

Documentation Form for Anti-Icing

Anti-icing Data Form				
Location:				
Date:				
Air Temp.	Pavement Temp.	RH	Dew	Sky
Reason for applying:				
Location:				
Chemical:				
Application Time:				
Application Amount:				
Observation (1 st day):				
Observation (After event):				
Observation (Before next application):				
Name:				

Figure 21: Documentation form for anti-icing

RH- relative humidity

Dew- dew point

Sky- sunny to cloudy

Documentation Form for Deicing

Deicing Data Form			
Operator:			
Location:			
Event Began:	Date	Time	
Event ended:	Date	Time	
Event type:	snow	rain	both
Total precipitation:			
Pavement temp.:		Air temp.:	
Dew point:			
Temperature Trend:			
Material used:			
Amount used:			
Application rate:			
Observations:			

Figure 22: Documentation form for deicing

Application Rates for Reduced Environmental Impacts

Effective application rates are based on many factors, including type and rate of precipitation, air and pavement temperature, forecast and temperature trend, humidity, dew point, type of surface and subsurface material, and sun exposure. The application rate tables used in this book have been taken from the [Minnesota Snow and Ice Control Field Handbook for Snowplow Operators, Second Revision](#) (LRRB 2012). They were converted from gallons or pounds per lane mile to gallons or pounds per 1000 square feet.

These application rates are not perfect but are to be used as goal to work toward. Develop specific application rate tables to standardize performance. Track application rates measure and improve performance. Modify the practices incrementally over time to fit the needs. Make it a goal to reduce application rates and keep surfaces safe.

One application rate will not fit all situations; how long an application lasts depends on:

- Pavement temperature
- Application rate
- Precipitation
- Type of material applied
- Salt lost to plowing or traffic blowing it off of the surface

Anti-Icing Application Rate Guidelines			
These are a starting point only. Adjust based on experience.			
Condition	Gallons/1000 sq. ft.		Other Products
	CaCl ₂ or MgCl ₂	Salt Brine(NaCl)	
1. Regularly scheduled applications	0.2 - 0.4	0.3 – 0.6	Follow manufacturers’ recommendations
2. Prior to frost or black ice event	0.2 - 0.4	0.3 – 0.6	
3. Prior to light or moderate snow	0.2 - 0.4	0.3– 0.8	
CAUTION: Too high an application rate may result in slippery conditions or tracking.			

Figure 23: Anti-icing application rates

How to use the anti-icing rate table above:

1. Determine the parking lot or sidewalk area in square feet.
2. Divide the area by 1,000 (chart is based on a 1,000 square foot area).
3. Find the application rate: Choose the condition in the first column of the table and follow sideways, stopping at the anti-icing chemical being used.
4. Determine how many gallons needed: Multiply the application rate by the answer in #2.

Example: The parking lot is 20,000 square feet. The chart tells how much to apply for 1,000 square feet. The plan is to apply brine prior to light or moderate snow. Do a calculation to see how much brine is needed:

1. Divide the parking lot size by the chart size ($20,000/1,000 = 20$).
2. Application rate from chart **is between 0.3 to 0.8** gallons.
3. Then multiply $20 \times 0.5 =$ about 10 gallons are needed.

Tip: To convert from gallons to ounces: multiply the result by 128.



One 50 lb. bag of salt can contaminate over 10,000 gallons of water.

Deicing Application Rate Guidelines for Parking Lots and Sidewalks

These rates are based on road application guidelines (LRRB 2012).

Develop specific application rates by adjusting the current rates incrementally downward toward the guidelines.

Where temperature categories overlap, select the rate most applicable to the present situation.

Pavement Temp. (°F) and Trend (↑↓)	Weather Condition	Maintenance Actions	Application Rate in lbs./per 1000 square foot area			
			Salt Pre-wetted/ Pretreated With Salt Brine	Salt Pre-wetted/ Pretreated With Other Blends	Dry Salt	Winter Sand (abrasives)
>30°F ↑	Snow	Plow, treat intersections only	0.75	0.5	0.75	not recommended
	Frz. Rain	Apply chemical	1.25	1.0	1.5	not recommended
30°F ↓	Snow	Plow & apply chemical	1.25	1.0	1.5	not recommended
	Frz. Rain	Apply chemical	1.5	1.25	1.75	not recommended
25 - 30°F ↑	Snow	Plow & apply chemical	1.25	1.0	1.5	not recommended
	Frz. Rain	Apply chemical	1.5	1.25	1.75	not recommended
25 - 30°F ↓	Snow	Plow & apply chemical	1.25	1.0	1.5	not recommended
	Frz. Rain	Apply chemical	1.75	1.5	2.25	3.25
20 - 25°F ↑	Snow or Frz. Rain	Plow & apply chemical	1.75	1.5	2.25	3.25 for frz. rain
20 - 25°F ↓	Snow	Plow & apply chemical	2.0	2.0	2.75	not recommended
	Frz. Rain	Apply chemical	2.5	2.0	3.0	3.25
15°F to 20°F ↑	Snow	Plow & apply chemical	2.0	2.0	2.75	not recommended
	Frz. Rain	Apply chemical	2.5	2.0	3.0	3.25
15°F to 20°F ↓	Snow or Frz. Rain	Plow & apply chemical	2.5	2.0	3.0	3.25 for frz. rain
0 to 15°F ↑ ↓	Snow	Plow, treat with blends, sand hazardous areas	not recommended	3.0	not recommended	5.0 spot treat as needed
< 0°F	Snow	Plow, treat with blends, sand hazardous areas	not recommended	4.5	not recommended	5.0 spot treat as needed

Figure 24: Application rates for deicing

All application rates are based on thoroughly cleared surfaces.

Instructions for using application rate table for calibrated spreaders

1. Using Deicing Application Rate Guidelines for Parking Lots and Sidewalks. Select the row (➡) with the appropriate pavement temperature, temperature trend, and weather conditions.
2. Select the column (⬇) that has the type of material used.
3. Find the box where the row (➡) and columns (⬇) intersect to find the application rate.
4. Compare those values to the calibration chart for the spreader.*
5. Select the correct spreader setting for the rate calculated.

Example:

1. Parking lot is 54,000 sq. ft.
2. Temperature: 22°F and falling. It has finished snowing. (➡)
3. Using salt pretreated with salt brine. (⬇)
4. Find the 20 – 25° ⬇ box. Follow it to the right to the column labeled “Salt Pre-wetted/pretreated with salt brine.” Read the rate in the box. The box where the column and row intersect shows a rate of 2.0 /1000 square feet. (➡⬇)
5. Refer to the calibration chart in the vehicle and set the spreader to the setting that most closely matches the 2.0 lbs. /1000 square feet.
6. The mixture is ready to apply.

Instructions for using application rate table for spreaders that are NOT calibrated

Using the example above:

1. Calculate size factor: Divide the parking lot size (54,000 sq. ft.) by 1,000 sq. ft.
 $54,000/1,000 = 54$. The size factor is 54.
2. Find application rate (2.0).
3. Multiply application rate by size factor $2 \times 54 = 108$.
4. The amount needed for the entire lot is 108 lbs. pre-wetted/pretreated salt brine.
5. Because the spreader is not calibrated, the setting is unknown.
6. Although the calibration setting is not known, this establishes the amount of salt to use and increases efficiency.
7. Determine the best method to spread the 108 pounds evenly across the parking lot.



Once chlorides enter the ground or surface water, they never go away.

Results

The first winter maintenance training and certification workshops were held in 2005. After holding several classes, it was determined that a training manual was needed.

The Winter Parking Lot and Sidewalk Maintenance Manual was written in 2006 and the training classes using the manual started in the winter of 2006-2007. In order to evaluate the effectiveness of the training, three class exercises were created. These exercises show potential rate reductions, potential change in practices, and evaluate the training program. The results from the in class exercises were compiled at the end of the 2007-2008 winter season and are shown below.

Potential Changes

In 2007-2008, 345 people attended 14 Winter Parking Lot and Sidewalk Maintenance Workshops and filled out the forms used to evaluate the course. The summary of all the exercises is given below.

Rate Reduction:

- **95%** of attendees discovered the need to either reduce the amount of material that used, or to not use that material at all.
- **96%** of attendees who used rock salt found that a need to reduce the application rates.
- **24%** of all attendees used sand or salt/sand mix. It was discovered to be using the wrong material.
- **62%** Average potential reduction in salt application rates.
- **20% - 89%** Range of potential reduction

Best Management Practices (BMP)

Attendees were given a survey about the use of BMPs. Out of the recommended BMPs:

- Before training: **31%** of BMPs were already being used.
- After training: **76%** BMPs will be used in the future (as stated by participants).

Evaluation:

- Recommend class to colleagues? **93% yes**
- Was this class useful to you? **87% yes**
- Do you think you will be able to reduce the environmental impacts of the winter maintenance activities as a result of attending this class? **79% yes**

Comments from those attending class:

"It's about time people got concerned about our water. Thanks for your work"

"Real world examples by people using these methods brings integrity to the presentation"

"Awesome job! I can see the wheels turning in people's heads"

"Spread the word fast. This information is too important!"

"I am very surprised to learn so much about a subject that I thought I knew."

Actual Changes

Following the winter season, follow up interviews were conducted to learn more about the winter maintenance changes made since training. The individuals interviewed had attended the training and volunteered to be part of our follow up research on the effectiveness of the training class. Several examples of these interviews are included below.

School District of Superior Wisconsin

Michael Soderlund - Maintenance Worker

Michael Soderlund attended the Parking Lot Training in October, 2007. After the training, many new successful changes were implemented. Overall, the District of Superior reduced their total use of deicers. One of the biggest steps forward was the production of their own brine. Michael said that everything is currently working well and there is no marked reduction in quality. Many District of Superior workers were initially hesitant about the new practices, but after a few tries they realized that the new methods work just as well or even better. The material application rates were greatly reduced, especially in the middle school and high school. The actual reduction rates are given below.

Rates:

2006-2007

The district used 294 bags of ice melt (50 lb. bags)
The total was \$2,320.48.

2007-2008

The district used 196 bags of ice melt.
The total was \$1,552.32

Reduction in ice melt use: 33.3%

Reduction in cost: 33.1%

University of Minnesota (UMN) - Twin Cities campus

Jim Weber - U of M Facilities Management

Over the past few years, the UMN recognized the need to become much more environmentally conscious with winter maintenance and storm water management. They made many changes in their snow removal program; two key areas were employee training and calibration of equipment. By increasing awareness of proper application rates, they were able to significantly decrease the amount of deicing chemical used. They started an aggressive anti-icing program with liquid magnesium chloride for their sidewalks and salt brine for the streets and loading docks. Pre-storm applications were extremely successful in reducing the bond of snow and ice to walks as well as giving them more response time on the front end of snow events. They focused on mechanical removal of snow as their first line of defense and they have changed the main deicer for walks from a blended material to straight magnesium chloride. They dramatically reduced the sand in their sidewalk and street program which has saved them time and money in spring clean-up and long term savings are expected in storm sewer maintenance. Small amounts of sand are still used as pattern indicators for their sidewalk trucks and for use during extreme cold weather. The numbers speak for themselves:

Material # 1 – Rock Salt

1997 - 2005 average: 775 tons of salt

2006 - 2008 average: 462 tons of salt

Net Average Reduction: 313 tons

Percent Reduction: 41%

Material Cost: \$48.53/ton

Amount Saved: \$15,193 average per year (2006 – 2008)

Material #2 – Ice Melt (Magnesium Chloride - $MgCl_2$)

1997 - 2005 average: 131 tons

2006 - 2008 average: 64 tons

Net Average Reduction: 67 tons

Percent Reduction: 51%

Material Cost: \$410/ton

Amount Saved: \$27,470 average per year (2006 – 2008)

Material #3 – Sand

1997 - 2005 average: 1965 tons

2006 - 2008 average: 18 tons

Net Average Reduction: 1,947 tons

Percent Reduction: 99%

Material Cost: \$8.30/ton

Amount Saved: \$16,160 average per year (2006 – 2008)

Net-Work Services Company (NSC)

Bob Rush – Director of Operations

NSC is a national facility management company that services commercial properties in 22 states. NSC's responsibility is to manage all building services which include hiring and managing winter maintenance contractors. While working in his prior role as Regional Manager for Minnesota and North Dakota, Bob Rush required all of his Minnesota winter maintenance contractors to be trained and certified on the best practices. As Director of Operations he has implemented a regional training program based on the Minnesota program. This allowed NSC to expand the best practices training to all winter maintenance contractors in cold weather states. Bob has taken many steps to reduce the impacts of winter maintenance and to improve the safety of his operations

Bob required all suppliers in the seven county metro area to switch to a standardized treated salt for parking areas. He helped calibrate all truck mounted spreading equipment to ensure they were not over-applying the deicer. Bob required all Twin City Metro and Duluth area suppliers to switch to a mag/hex for sidewalks. This standardized the deicer which helped the suppliers determine the correct application rates per sq. ft. based on temperature and location of the sidewalk.

He requested that all Twin City Metro suppliers attend the MPCA snow training program. He rewarded the suppliers that were able to show their certifications. NSC held regional training sessions with all contractors, reviewed best practice for snow removal, and discussed the proper techniques and timing for deicer application. Bob requires preseason re-training of large suppliers to refresh them on the best practices.

He expanded the material that was developed by the MPCA and trained his 14 Regional Managers on best practices, impact on the environment, and the need for continual training. They, in turn, introduced the training material into the larger markets such Salt Lake City, Denver, Omaha, and Boise.

They eliminated sand use in Minneapolis and Denver and continue to educate the maintenance contractors on the impact that sand has on the environment. In the first year of the program, NCS reduced deicer use by 15-20% in parking lots and by 20-25% on sidewalks. They hope to see additional reductions in the amount of wasted material by continuing to help the teams understand the use of technology and best practices. Bob developed a working group to continue to research better practices and material with the goal of reducing deicer use and our impact on the environment.

Joe's Lawn and Snow

Joe Mather - Owner

Joe's Lawn and Snow is a small company located in the Twin Cities area. Joe attended the certification class in the winter of 2013-2014 and sent four employees. The changes were implemented for the last half of the season. They normally would have expected to use 20 tons of salt and only used 9 tons.

Prior to attending the class, they relied on application rates listed on the deicers and their best judgment to determine how much material to apply and did not calibrate their equipment. They learned a lot in the class and implemented many practices in their first year. Practices implemented included:

- * Purchased new spreader
- * Calibrated equipment
- * Made a "bowl" to catch any excess salt at spinner and reuse this
- * Made modifications to spreader to get more even spread and prevent salt piles
- * Reduced application rates
- * Tested application rates and results and kept refining
- * Purchased hand-held and truck mounted temperature sensors
- * Use temperature to help determine rates and materials
- * Identify drainage patterns and appropriate snow storage areas prior to winter
- * Use sediment traps to contain solids in runoff and they clean out manholes
- * Experimented with anti-icing using liquids and will continue to experiment

They were not able to reliably calibrate and adjust their old spreader so they purchased a new one. He plans to add mud flaps with pockets to prevent salt from going all over the truck and catch extra salt which they will reuse. They tested areas in parking lots to determine the best application rates. Before winter, they mark the edges of their parking lots with blue poles and used a different color to indicate where snow should be stacked. They have a covered salt storage area on one of their properties. It is a 20 x 30' concrete walls and "tarp-type" top. It has a subfloor and a capture drain. It was a \$20,000 investment. They had this prior to training. They do spring and fall sweeping.

They reduced their application rates by about ½ and then adjusted them up based on results as needed. With the changes made, they were able to reduce their salt usage by about 50% without reducing their results.

Cadwell Lawn and Landscaping

Charles Cadwell – Owner

After attending the Parking Lot Training in 2005, Charles Cadwell's company examined their procedures for applying salt and deicing chemicals at Ridgedale Center and found areas that were candidates for improvement. Practices in previous years included using salt rather carelessly and applying it to attempt to keep snow from accumulating during a storm. As such, it was quite common to apply 12 to 14 tons of salt during a given storm. Since training, they examined their practices and have taken measures to reduce usage of salt products.

They reduced the speed setting on our auger to slow the feed rate of salt to the wheel. At the same time, they maintained the speed of the wheel and that made for better dispersion of the salt (more even dispersion of salt and greater coverage in a given load).

They inspected the truck tailgate because in previous years, they had a problem with spillage that resulted in "piles" of salt being dropped at random during turns or when hitting potholes or speed bumps. They found gaps between the tailgate sander and the truck bed that they filled with weather stripping. That forced all salt to be fed through the auger and baffle so that spillage was eliminated. That further increased the coverage per given load that was achieved.

Based on the information provided in training, they no longer apply salt or other chemicals during a storm. Salt is applied after the snow has been mechanically removed. The one exception to this is where some material might be needed to provide traction and permit traffic safety at stop signs or on slopes. In these situations, the materials are applied only sparingly after plowing is done.

Average salt usage for a given snow event is now five to six tons. That is based on the number of loader buckets put in the truck where one bucket is considered to be one ton (Ridgedale does not have a scale). That form of measurement is standard for what they did in previous years and from one contractor to another.

They were able to further reduce salt applications the winter of 2007 -2008 by educating the customer on the mechanical removal being the major step and only when that is complete is it reasonable to apply salt to the pavement. The mall was very receptive to only using salt when absolutely necessary. Supporting this approach, they were also able to maintain good performance in terms of the number of slip-and-fall incidents that occurred due to ice or snow. That supported the approach of using mechanical removal and then salt application as a standard process.

References and Resources

References

Axler, R. (n.d.) *How Much Salt is a Problem?* Lake Superior Duluth Streams.

http://www.lakesuperiorstreams.org/understanding/impact_salt_2.html

Clear Roads Research Study: Field Evaluation of Organic Materials for Winter Snow and Ice Control. (2015). TRB Annual Meeting Paper 15-4555.

<http://docs.trb.org/prp/15-4555.pdf>

Freshwater Crisis. (n.d.) *National Geographic*.

<http://environment.nationalgeographic.com/environment/freshwater/freshwater-crisis/>

Healthy Rivers: a Water Course. (2004). [Multimedia CD]. St. Paul MN: Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. <http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/publications/cdroms/index.html>.

Healthy Rivers: a Water Course (n.d.) [online interactive learning tool].

http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/assistance/backyard/healthyivers/course/100/101_00.html

Kelly, V.R., Findlay, S.E.G., Schlesinger, W.H., Chatrchyan, A.M., Menking, K. (2010). *Road Salt: Moving Toward the Solution*. The Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies.

LRRB. (2012). Minnesota snow and ice control: Field handbook for snowplow operators. Minnesota Local Road Research Board, St. Paul, MN.

<http://www.mnltap.umn.edu/publications/handbooks/documents/snowice.pdf>

MPCA. 2013. The condition of Minnesota's groundwater, 2007-2011. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, St. Paul, MN. <http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/view-document.html?gid=19743>

MPCA. 2015. Twin Cities Metropolitan Area Chloride Management Plan. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, St. Paul, MN. <http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/view-document.html?gid=22754>

MPCA. 2015. Twin Cities Metropolitan Area Chloride Total Maximum Daily Load. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, St. Paul, MN. <http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/view-document.html?gid=22754>

Mulaney, John R., Lorenz, David L., Arnsten, Alan D., (2009). *Chloride in Groundwater and Surface Water in Areas Underlain by the Glacial Aquifer System, Northern United States*, United States Geological Survey (USGS) Scientific Investigations Report 2009-5086

Parking Lot and Sidewalk Maintenance for Reduced Environmental Impacts (2005-2006). Fortin Consulting, Inc. Funded by a Minnesota Pollution Control P2 grant.

Sander, A., E. Novotny, O. Mohseni, H.G. Stefan, (2007) Inventory of Road Salt Uses in the Minneapolis/St. Paul Metropolitan Area. University of Minnesota St. Anthony Falls Laboratory Engineering, Environmental and Geophysical Fluid Dynamics. Report No. 503. Prepared for Minnesota Department of Transportation.

<https://conservancy.umn.edu/bitstream/handle/11299/115332/pr503.pdf?sequence=1>

Stefan, H., Novotny, E., Sander, A., and Mohseni, O. 2008. Study of Environmental Effects of Deicing Salt on Water Quality in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area, Minnesota. Minnesota Department of Transportation. Report No. MN/RC 2008-42. <http://www.lrrb.org/media/reports/200842.pdf>

Sohanghpurwala, A. A. (2008, February 5). *Cost of Winter Maintenance on Infrastructure*. [Power Point Presentation]. Brooklyn Center, MN: Seventh Annual Road Salt Symposium.

Additional Resources

Training and technical assistance

Anti-icing Equipment: Recommendations and Modifications. Iowa Department of Transportation. Includes drawings for shop-made equipment.

<http://www.iowadot.gov/maintenance/pdf/manualantiicingequipment.pdf>

AASHTO Snow and Ice Pooled Fund Cooperative. Snow and Ice List Serve:

<http://sicop.transportation.org/Pages/SnowandIceList-Serve.aspx>.

Amsler Sr., D. E. (2004). "Are You Using the Right Amount of Ice Control Chemical?" *Salt & Highway Deicing*, Vol. 40, No. 2.

Approved product list. (2014-2015). Minnesota Department of Transportation, St. Paul, MN.

<http://www.dot.state.mn.us/maintenance/pdf/approved-products-winter-chemicals.pdf>

Axler, R. (n.d.) *How Much Salt is a Problem?* Lake Superior Duluth Streams.

http://www.lakesuperiorstreams.org/understanding/impact_salt_2.html

Blackburn, R.; Amsler, D; & Bauer, K. (2003, July). Guidelines for Snow and Ice Control. [Power Point]. Presented at 10th AASHTO/TRB Maintenance Management Conference, Duluth MN.

Blackburn, R., Bauer, K., Amsler, D. E., Boselly, S. E., McElroy, A. D. (2004). Snow and ice control: Guidelines for materials and methods. National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 526. Transportation Board: Washington, D.C.

http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_rpt_526.pdf.

Calibration Procedures Manual. (2000-2001) Circuit Training and Assistance Program (CTAP).

The Circuit Training and Assistance Program (CTAP), a joint program of MN/DOT and the Minnesota Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP). Brings training to cities, counties and townships.

www.mnltap.umn.edu/ctap.

Correlating Lab Testing and Field Performance for De-icing and Anti-icing Chemicals. [Final report]. (2010, August 31). Western Transportation Institute for Wisconsin Department of Transportation and Clear Roads.

Determining the toxicity of de-icing materials. (2013). Clear Roads Fact Sheet. http://clearroads.org/wp-content/uploads/dlm_uploads/11-02_12-30-13-Final-Fact-Sheet.pdf

Guide to Field-Testing Deicing and Anti-Icing Chemicals. Minnesota Department of Transportation.

http://www.dot.state.mn.us/products/snow_ice/index.html

Highway Deicing: Comparing Salt and Calcium Magnesium Acetate. (1991). *Special Report 235*, p. 11. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board, National Research Council.

Johnson, G.R. & Sucoff, E. (2015). *Minimizing De-icing Salt Damage to Trees*. University of Minnesota Extension. <http://www.extension.umn.edu/garden/yard-garden/trees-shrubs/minimizing-de-icing-salt-injury-to-trees/>

Jull, Laura G. (2009). *Winter Salt Injury and Salt-Tolerant Landscape Plants*. UW Extension Cooperative Extension. <https://pdf.countyofdane.com/myfairlakes/A3877.pdf>

Katz, D.B. (2015). *Road maps to liquids*. [Snow and Ice Maintenance Association website publication]. <http://www.sima.org/news2/2015/04/16/road-map-to-liquids>.

Managing Street Sweepings. (1997, September). Minnesota Pollution Control Fact Sheet w-sw4-54. <http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/view-document.html?gid=13934>.

Manual of practice for an effective anti-icing program: A guide for highway winter maintenance personnel. (1996). Washington, D.C.: Federal Highway Administration. <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/95202/index.cfm>

Minnesota Snow and Ice Control Field Handbook for Snowplow Operators. (2012, October). <http://www.lrrb.org/media/reports/200501REV.pdf>.

Minnesota's Stormwater Manual. (2005, November). Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. <http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/water/water-types-and-programs/stormwater/stormwater-management/minnesotas-stormwater-manual.html>.

National Weather Service <http://www.noaa.gov/>

Nixon, W.A. (2004, January). "Snow-How." Snow & Ice Manager. Primedia Business Magazines and Media. http://grounds-mag.com/snow_ice/2004_january_snow/.

Pacific Northwest Snowfighters. <http://pnsassociation.org/>.

Parker, J. Liquids, How to get Started. (2006). [Power Point]. Presented at the Snow and Ice Management Association's Snow and Ice Symposium.

Plant selector tool. [Interactive program on-line]. Minnesota Department of Transportation. Allows you to select plants for many conditions including high salt areas. <http://www.dot.state.mn.us/roadsides/plantselector/index.html>

Practical Guide for Storing and Handling Deicing Salt. (2006). Alexandria, VA: Salt Institute. <http://landscapemanagement.net/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/The-Salt-Storage-Handbook.pdf>

Protecting Water Quality in Urban, Chapter 7 – Pollution Prevention. (2000, March 1). Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. Information on street sweeping and re-use of sweepings. <http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/water/water-types-and-programs/stormwater/stormwater-management/stormwater-best-management-practices-manual.html>.

RWIS – Road Weather and Information System. www.rwis.dot.state.mn.us [Internet-based weather service]. MN/DOT. Information comes from sensors embedded in state highways.

Salt Institute. *Calibration Instructions* [with downloadable Excel worksheet]. <http://www.saltinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/06/Summer-Vol-46-No-3.pdf>

Snow & Ice Control 2014. Cornell Local Roads Program: New York LTAP Center. http://www.clrp.cornell.edu/workshops/manuals/snow_and_ice_control.pdf.

Snow and Ice Management Association (SIMA). www.sima.org.

Transportation Association of Canada. Syntheses of Best Practices-Road Salt Management:

<http://tac-atc.ca/en/bookstore-and-resources/free-resources-and-tools/syntheses-practice>

Twin Cities Metro Area Chloride Management Plan. [Power Point]. (2013, February 7).

http://freshwater.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/Brooke-Asleson1_Road-Salt-Symposium_02-07-13-.pdf

Winter Chemical Catalog. (n.d.) Minnesota Department of Transportation, St. Paul, MN.

<http://www.dot.state.mn.us/maintenance/pdf/research/winterchemcatalog.pdf>

Winter Maintenance training for parking lots and sidewalks. Also Winter Maintenance for Roads training. Fortin Consulting Inc. 763-478-3606. connie@fortinconsulting.com and www.fortinconsulting.com.

Winter Maintenance Voluntary Certification Program. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency.

www.pca.state.mn.us/programs/roadsalt.html.

Winter Operations. (2010). [Website]. University of New Hampshire Technology Transfer Center.

<http://www.t2.unh.edu/winter-operations>.

Websites: more information on deicers

Alternatives to Sodium Chloride. [Website]. Bard Center for environmental Policy.

<http://www.roadssaltsmart.com/alternatives-to-sodium-chloride/>

Break the Ice: Comparison of Ice Melting Chemicals. (2006). Peters Chemical Company.

<http://www.peterschemical.com/break-the-ice-comparison-of-ice-melting-chemicals/>

Fischel, M. *Evaluation of Selected Deicers Based on a Review of the Literature*. Report No. CDOT-DTD-R-2001-15 Final Report. (2001, October 31). The SeaCrest Group for the Colorado Department of Transportation Research Branch. <https://www.codot.gov/programs/research/pdfs/2001/deicers.pdf>

Greenawalt, L. (2006, July 1). *Clean Melt: A Scientific Primer on Deicers*. Clean Link website.

<http://www.cleanlink.com/sm/article/Ice-Melt-A-Scientific-Primer-On-Deicers--4896>

Holt, M. (2013, November 22). *When it comes to Deicing, Not all Salt is Created Equal*. Cornell University Cooperative Extension website. <http://blogs.cornell.edu/ccecattall/2013/11/22/when-it-comes-to-deicing-not-all-salt-is-created-equal/>

Ice Melting 101: The Truth about Melting Ice and Snow. (2015). [Brochure]. Dri-Now Products website.

<http://www.climaterx.com/ice-melt-101/>

Winter Maintenance: Choosing a Deicer. (n.d.) [brochure, on-line] Nine Mile Creek Watershed District.

http://www.ninemilecreek.org/Education/PDFs/Buying%20a%20Deicer_NMCWD.pdf

***Note this color type indicates resources added to Lake County modified manual**

Appendix

Material Conversions

SAND			SALT	
Yards	Tons		Yards	Tons
1	1.4		1	1.1
2	2.8		2	2.2
3	4.2		3	3.2
4	5.6		4	4.3
5	7.0		5	5.4
6	8.4		6	6.5
7	9.8		7	7.6
8	11.2		8	8.6
9	12.6		9	9.7
10	14.0		10	10.8
11	15.4		11	11.9
12	16.8		12	13.0
13	18.2		13	14.0
14	19.6		14	15.1
15	21.0		15	16.2
16	22.4		16	17.3
17	23.8		17	18.4
18	25.2		18	19.4
19	26.6		19	20.5
20	28.0		20	21.6

Figure 25: Material Conversions

The following formulas and the above quick reference table will help to convert between tons and cubic yards. Weights will vary depending upon moisture content.

1. To convert tons of clean sand to cubic yards: # tons divided by 1.4 = cubic yards
2. To convert cubic yards of clean sand to tons: # cubic yards multiplied by 1.4 = tons
3. To convert tons of winter sand to cubic yards: # tons divided by 1.37 = cubic yards
4. To convert cubic yards of winter sand to tons: # cubic yards multiplied by 1.37 = tons
5. To convert tons of straight salt to cubic yards: # tons divided by 1.08 = cubic yards
6. To convert cubic yards of straight salt to tons: # cubic yards multiplied by 1.08 = tons

Use these tables to convert application rates between pounds per lane mile and pounds per 1000 square feet.

lbs./ lane mile*	lbs./1000 square feet
25	0.4
50	0.8
75	1.2
100	1.6
125	2.0
150	2.4
175	2.8
200	3.2
225	3.5
250	3.9
275	4.3
300	4.7
350	5.5

lbs./1000 square feet	lbs./ lane mile*
0.5	32
0.75	48
1	63
1.25	79
1.5	95
1.75	111
2	127
2.25	143
2.5	159
2.75	174
3	190
3.25	206
5	317

*12 foot lane width

Figure 26: Application Rate Conversion Charts

Common Conversions

- 1 lane mile (12' x 5280 ft.) = 63360 square feet
- Average size parking spot: 9 x 20 feet or 10 x 20 feet = 180 – 200 square feet
- Driving isles (2-way) = About 25 feet wide
- 1 acre = 43,560 square feet
- 1 ton = 2000 lbs.
- 1 cup of salt (NaCl) = 0.6 lbs.
- Salt (NaCl) weighs 72 – 84 lbs./ft³ depending upon moisture and granule size
- 1 gallon = 128 ounces
- 1 cubic yard of salt = 1.1 ton
- 1 cubic yard of sand = 1.4 tons
- 1 cubic yard = 27 cubic feet
- 1 square yard = 9 square feet

Definitions

°C – degrees Celsius

°F – degrees Fahrenheit

brine – liquid deicer made from water and rock salt (NaCl)

lbs. – pounds

LTAP – Local Technical Assistance Program

mg/l – milligrams per liter

Mn/DOT – Minnesota Department of Transportation

MPCA – Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

mph – miles per hour

ppm – parts per million

psi – pounds per square inch

sq. ft. – square feet